Agriculture

At the end of last month the Office of Fair Trading (OFT) published its report on the UK dentistry market; in summary it declared that dental patients were largely satisfied with the services provided by their dentist. But even though the report started off on a high note, there were significant concerns regarding the past and the future of dentistry, and there were several recommendations that went hand in hand with these concerns.

One concern highlighted by the OFT was how the existing NHS dental contract in England acts as a barrier to entry and expansion in the dentistry market (a market whose growth is valued at an estimated £5.73bn a year). The report stated that:

- Potential new, innovative dental practices trying to enter the dentistry market face limited opportunities,
- Good practices offering higher quality services to patients face high barriers to expansion,
- Poor performing dental practices face more limited incentives to improve in order to retain and attract new patients.

Even on paper it doesn’t sound too good.

Vital step

In the OFT’s view, it is a vital step for the Department of Health to progress with the redesign of the NHS dental contract and introduce a system in which ‘any qualified provider’ may deliver NHS services to dental patients and where NHS dental payments follow the patient; it was also strongly recommended that the Department of Health bring an end to non-time-limited NHS dental contracts and that NHS dental contracts in England should be ‘streamlined and standardised’ to facilitate entry into the market by new dental practices.

The proposed moves by the OFT didn’t seem to come as a shock to most practitioners, as practice principal Neel Kothari explained: “Unsurprisingly the 2006 dental contract was slated by the OFT report. A core concern for the OFT is that the existing NHS dental contract in England acts as a barrier to entry and expansion in the dentistry market and that reform of the NHS dental contract is needed to facilitate greater competition.”
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A core concern for the OFT is that the existing NHS dental contract in England acts as a barrier to entry and expansion in the dentistry market and that reform of the NHS dental contract is needed to facilitate greater competition. This was of course a rather obvious conclusion, but are we as a profession able to cope with further changes without a knock on effect on patient care?”
This leads onto the obvious ‘elephant in the room’ as Neel explains: “What exactly should be available on the NHS? Co-Cr dentures, implants, fixed bridge work, or simply a core service centred on fillings and dentures? Assuming that the OFT report is accurate in that 500,000 patients a year are actually being misled this is more than just a perverse incentive built within a flawed system; it’s confirmation that things have gone horribly wrong.”

Other worries highlighted in the report surrounded issues of dental payments plans, and concerns that patients are being pressured into joining a dental payment plan as a means of paying for private dental treatment. Along with these discoveries there were further matters regarding the complaints process and how the current complexity and costs impose unnecessary burdens on patients and dentists.

As a result, the OFT stated that they strongly recommended that either a single body should be responsible for dealing with such complaints, or a single patient-facing portal for the reporting of such complaints should be developed to ensure a more effective, efficient and consistent complaints system.

So far all the findings from the OFT report, from insufficient patient information to the complexity of the complaints process for patients, makes the question surrounding patient care beg for some serious deliberation. But the question on patient care was made even more prominent when the OFT announced that they had called upon the GDC to review and urgently remove restrictions on direct patient access to dental care professionals.

Currently, dental patients are unable to access dental hygienists, dental therapists and (except for patients without any teeth) clinical dental technicians without first receiving a referral from a dentist; however, the OFT stated that they do not consider that there is any compelling, objective justification for the current restrictions.

Benefits
The OFT believe there are a number of benefits that Direct Access may deliver for patients, such as the chance to provide patients with greater choice and a chance to allow DCPs direct interaction with patients; the OFT also believes that the removal of restrictions on patients’ Direct Access to DCPs could also create competition and enable the development of more efficient models of service in the dentistry market that may be more responsive to patients’ needs.

The report states: “On the ba-

Mixed emotions
The statement has caused mixed emotions in the dental sphere. The GDC and the BDA have raised concerns around patient safety if patients are allowed Direct Access to DCPs, with the BDA stating that direct patient access to DCPs would introduce risks to patient safety because ‘DCPs are not trained to diagnose significant early stage oral disease’. However, these views are not shared by all.

Sally Simpson, President of BDSHT said: “We firmly believe that Direct Access will not be detrimental to patients as suggested by the General Dental Council and British Dental Association; rather that Direct Access will help dentistry move forward and embrace the successful model of care as employed in medicine allowing patients greater accessibility.”

Sally Reid, Secretary of BADT said: “BADT are in favour of the OFT report with regards to Direct Access for dental therapists and hygienists and support their recommendation. Patients should have more freedom of access and choice on who can deliver their dental care. This will improve the system and enable dental care professionals to practice dentistry within their competency without the need for treatment planning and referral from the dentist.”

Speaking on the subject, Neel said: “Whilst this could potentially be a good thing for patients, I am concerned that a government body responsible for making sure markets work well for consumers is making recommendations that have a clinical impact on patient care. On top of this, what evidence does the OFT have that suggests that allowing Direct Access to DCPs will actually save money and be better for consumers?”

Insatiable demand
Even still, as Neel explained, there remains to be some good points about UK dentistry, as Neel explained: “Whilst the OFT report highlighted flaws within the UK dentistry market, it fails to note the contribution that NHS dentistry has played in meeting the insatiable demand for high output en masse dentistry whilst working in a budget limited system.”
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